

ARTICLE

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13248-5>

OPEN

General structural features that regulate integrin affinity revealed by atypical $\alpha V\beta 8$

Jianchuan Wang ^{1,2}, Yang Su^{1,2}, Roxana E. Iacob³, John R. Engen ³ & Timothy A. Springer ^{1,2*}

Integrin $\alpha V\beta 8$, which like $\alpha V\beta 6$ functions to activate TGF- β s, is atypical. Its $\beta 8$ subunit binds to a distinctive cytoskeleton adaptor and does not exhibit large changes in conformation upon binding to ligand. Here, crystal structures, hydrogen-deuterium exchange dynamics, and affinity measurements on mutants are used to compare $\alpha V\beta 8$ and $\alpha V\beta 6$. Lack of a binding site for one of three βI domain divalent cations and a unique $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop conformation in $\beta 8$ facilitate movements of the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices at the ligand binding pocket toward the high affinity state, without coupling to $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop reshaping and $\alpha 7$ -helix pistoning that drive large changes in βI domain-hybrid domain orientation seen in other integrins. Reciprocal swaps between $\beta 6$ and $\beta 8$ βI domains increase affinity of $\alpha V\beta 6$ and decrease affinity of $\alpha V\beta 8$ and define features that regulate affinity of the βI domain and its coupling to the hybrid domain.

¹Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ²Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ³Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA. *email: springer_lab@crystal.harvard.edu

Integrins comprise a family of $\alpha\beta$ heterodimers with diverse functions in cell adhesion, migration, and signaling. The integrin family was seeded with its first two members, integrins lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 (integrin $\alpha\text{L}\beta 2$) and macrophage antigen 1 (integrin $\alpha\text{M}\beta 2$) with discoveries in 1982 that they had identical β -subunits and distinct α -subunits¹ and in 1985 that their α -subunits, αL and αM , had homologous amino acid sequences². The integrin family grew to its current size in mammals of 24 $\alpha\beta$ heterodimers with the cloning of the last β -subunit, $\beta 8$, in 1991³ and the last α -subunit, $\alpha 11$, in 1999⁴. Integrins are force-resistant and provide traction for cell migration and mechanical stability for tissues. However, two integrins, $\alpha\text{V}\beta 6$ and $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$, appear to have evolved primarily to activate transforming growth factor- $\beta 1$ (TGF- $\beta 1$) and TGF- $\beta 3$ and bind with high affinity to a RGD(LX(L/I) motif in the TGF- β prodomain. These integrins activate TGF- β by releasing the growth factor (GF) from the prodomain, which otherwise surrounds the GF and holds it in a latent form in which it cannot bind TGF- β receptors⁵. $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ is expressed much more highly in the brain than in any other tissues³ and especially highly on glial cells, where it localizes to synapses with other glia and neurons and within synaptosomes⁶. $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ in the central nervous system is required to activate TGF- $\beta 1$ complexed with the milieu molecule LRRC33 on microglia cells and for the maintenance of myelin, axons, and

neurons in certain regions of the central nervous system and particularly in motor pathways in the brain and spinal cord⁷.

$\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ differs from $\alpha\text{V}\beta 6$ and all other integrins in its coupling to the cytoskeleton. Among the 24 integrin heterodimers, 22 bridge extracellular ligands to the actin cytoskeleton by binding through specific sites in integrin β -subunit cytoplasmic domains to the adaptors talin and kindlin (Fig. 1a–c)⁸. Retrograde actin flow transmits tensile force through such integrins when they bind to extracellular ligands. This tensile force, together with ligand binding, stabilizes integrins in the extended-open conformation, which, depending on the integrin, has 700- to 4,000-fold higher affinity for ligand than the extended-closed or bent-closed conformations^{9,10}. Higher affinity results from tightening of the ligand-binding site in the integrin β -subunit βI domain at the $\beta 1$ - $\alpha 1$ loop and $\alpha 1$ -helix around the metal ion-dependent adhesion site (MIDAS) (Fig. 1b, c)^{11,12}.

In contrast, the $\beta 8$ -subunit of integrin $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ has a divergent cytoplasmic domain that binds to the Band 4.1 family (Fig. 1d–f)¹³. Although the conformation of intact $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ is unknown, its ectodomain fragment almost exclusively exhibits an extended conformation^{14–16} (Fig. 1e), and thus cannot be activated by tensile force. Furthermore, in contrast to other integrins, binding of ligand to $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ fails to induce swing-out of the hybrid domain; that is, the open headpiece conformation (Fig. 1f)^{14–16}. Therefore, we




Fig. 1 Overall integrin conformational states. **a–c** Talin-binding integrins. **d–f** Band 4.1-binding integrin $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$. **d** Population of the bent-closed conformation is very low in $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ ^{14–16}, whereas this is by far the most populous state on cell surfaces in typical integrins (**a**)⁹. **e** The extended-closed conformation is by far the most populous $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ conformation, at least in solution^{14–16}. **f** $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ does not exhibit a ligand-stabilized extended-open conformation like typical integrins (**c**). However, ligand binding induces movement of the $\alpha 1$ -helix (and SDL1, which includes the N-terminal portion of the $\alpha 1$ -helix and its preceding loop) toward the open state of the βI domain. The $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in the βI domain is unengaged with the $\alpha 7$ -helix, enabling opening of the βI domain to occur in the absence of hybrid domain swing-out.

wondered how $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ is able to bind pro-TGF- $\beta 1$ with an affinity that is unusually high for an integrin¹⁴. The $\beta 8$ -subunit has Asn instead of Asp at two positions known to coordinate with a Ca^{2+} ion at the adjacent to MIDAS (ADMIDAS) in the βI domain of other integrins; however, both Asn and Asp can coordinate Ca^{2+} .

Here, we report structural differences and correlating sequence differences between the βI domains of $\beta 8$ and talin-activated integrin β -subunits that extend well beyond the Asp and Asn ADMIDAS differences, including the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop, and have not previously been discussed or studied. Mutational exchanges between $\beta 8$ and $\beta 6$ βI domains and hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX) differences between $\beta 8$ and $\beta 6$ suggest that that these sequence motif and structural differences have important roles in affinity regulation and may enable affinity regulation without hybrid domain swing-out in atypical $\beta 8$ (Fig. 1f). We further find that in typical integrins, the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop has an important role in maintaining the low-affinity state.

Results

The structure of integrin $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ and its lack of an ADMIDAS.

An integrin $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ headpiece fragment with high mannose N-glycans was expressed in GnTI-deficient HEK293 cells, purified, crystallized, and soaked with or without the TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide G²¹³RRGDLATIHG²²³ (Table 1). The β -propeller and thigh domains in αV and the βI and hybrid domains in $\beta 8$ are resolved in the structure (Fig. 2a, b). The co-crystallized peptide fragment of the TGF- $\beta 1$ prodomain binds to the interface between the αV β -propeller and $\beta 8$ βI domain. $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ electron density is poorer in the hybrid domain than in the β -propeller, thigh, and βI domains, and absent in the PSI (plexin, semaphorin, and integrin) and I-EGF⁻¹ (integrin-epidermal growth factor-like⁻¹) domains, which link to the N- and C-terminal ends of the hybrid domain distal to its interface with the βI domain. In contrast, all β -subunit domains were better defined in $\alpha\text{V}\beta 6$ headpiece crystal structures (Fig. 2c)¹⁷. Regions of the $\beta 8$ hybrid domain that could not be built are missing or dashed in Fig. 2a, b; the $\beta 6$ hybrid domain in Fig. 2c appears larger because it is entirely built. $\beta 8$ hybrid domain electron density is better at its interface with the βI than the PSI-I-EGF-1 domains, and variable among independent molecules in asymmetric units (four in unliganded $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ and two in liganded $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$).

The $\beta 8$ βI domain has unique features compared to previously structurally characterized integrin β -subunits, all of which link to the actin cytoskeleton through talin and kindlin, that is, $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 3$, $\beta 6$, and $\beta 7$ ^{11,17–19}. The most striking difference is the lack of an ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion (Figs. 2a, b and 3). To ensure that lack of an ADMIDAS metal ion was not an artifact related to crystallization of αV integrins at low pH¹⁷, $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ was crystallized at pH 6.7 and Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} concentrations were increased during crystal soaking.

For ease of nomenclature here, we define the contiguous sequence of MIDAS and ADMIDAS-coordinating residues in typical integrin β -subunits, DXSXXDD (D1-S3-S5-D8-D9), as the β -MIDAS motif, where MIDAS is used in a broad sense to include up to two metal ions (Fig. 3g). In typical integrins, the ADMIDAS metal ion coordinates the sidechains of the two Asp residues (β -MIDAS D8 and D9), the backbone carbonyl of the β -MIDAS S5 residue, and a backbone carbonyl from the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop (Fig. 3c–g). In contrast, $\beta 8$ has Asn-119 and Asn-120 (N8 and N9) in place of the D8 and D9 Asp residues (Fig. 3a, b, g). Asn carbonyl oxygens can coordinate Ca^{2+} , as seen at the SyMBS (synergistic metal ion-binding site) in integrins. However, replacement of β -MIDAS motif D8 and D9 residues with Asn in $\beta 8$ results in the absence of any negatively charged sidechains to coordinate Ca^{2+} and is likely to be sufficient to explain the lack

Table 1 $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ headpiece data collection and refinement statistics^{a,b}.

Data collection	Unliganded ^a	Liganded
Space group	<i>P</i> 1	<i>P</i> 2 ₁
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	144.2, 55.1, 175.1	161.2, 53.9, 176.6
α , β , γ (°)	90.37, 107.0, 90.01	90.0, 111.5, 90.0
Unique reflections	141,394 (10,550)	71,992 (4828)
Redundancy	1.7 (1.7)	3.2 (2.2)
Resolution (Å)	50.0–2.66 (2.73–2.66)	50.0–2.77 (2.84–2.77)
Completeness (%)	95.5 (96.8)	98.5 (89.3)
<i>I</i> / σ (<i>I</i>)	5.87 (0.33)	8.38 (0.61)
<i>R</i> _{merge} (%) ^c	9.4 (192.2)	11.2 (157.7)
<i>CC</i> _(1/2) (%) ^d	99.4 (19.9)	99.6 (44.1)
Wavelength (Å)	1.0332	1.0332
Refinement		
Molecules/ASU	4	2
Resolution (Å)	50.0–2.66 (2.73–2.66)	50.0–2.77 (2.84–2.77)
<i>R</i> _{work} (%) ^e	24.77 (42.74)	25.14 (42.49)
<i>R</i> _{free} (%) ^f	27.96 (47.72)	28.20 (42.03)
RMSD bond (Å)	0.003	0.003
RMSD angle (°)	0.736	0.830
Number of atoms		
Protein ^g	56,015	27,858
Carbohydrate/metal ion	1473	729
Water	431	203
<i>B</i> -factors (Å ²)		
Protein	118.9	126.0
Carbohydrate/metal ion	121.1	131.4
Water	58.7	67.2
Ramachandran (%) ^h	91.93, 7.66, 0.41	92.84, 7.05, 0.11
MolProbity percentile ^h		
Clash/Geometry	98/98	100/99
PDB code	6OM1	6OM2

^aIntegrin $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ with αV residues 1–594 and M400C mutation and $\beta 8$ residues 1–456 with V259C mutation

^bValues within parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell

^c $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum h \sum i |I(h) - \langle I(h) \rangle| / \sum h \sum i I(h)$, where $I(h)$ and $\langle I(h) \rangle$ are the *i*th and mean measurement of the intensity of reflection *h*

^dPearson's correlation coefficient between average intensities of random half-data sets for unique reflections²⁷.

^e $R_{\text{work}} = \sum h |F_{\text{obs}}(h) - F_{\text{calc}}(h)| / \sum h |F_{\text{obs}}(h)|$, where $F_{\text{obs}}(h)$ and $F_{\text{calc}}(h)$ are the observed and calculated structure factors, respectively. No *I*/ σ (*I*) cutoff was applied

^f*R*_{free} is the *R* value obtained for a test set of reflections consisting of a randomly selected 1.4% (unliganded) and 2.6% (liganded) subset of the dataset excluded from refinement

^gAmong all independent unliganded and liganded structures, respectively, the average number of residues that could be built for PSI was 64 and 74% ($\beta 6$) and 0 and 0% ($\beta 8$), for hybrid was 100 and 100% ($\beta 6$) and 71 and 61% ($\beta 8$), for βI was 100 and 100% ($\beta 6$) and 96 and 100% ($\beta 8$), and for I-EGF-1 was 11 and 81% ($\beta 6$) and 0 and 0% ($\beta 8$)

^hCalculated with MolProbity³⁰

of an ADMIDAS metal ion in $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$. Ca^{2+} binding is also competed by the hydrogen bond of Asn-120 to Gln-302 (Fig. 3a, b). Gln-302 in $\beta 8$ replaces the Thr in the $\beta 5$ - $\alpha 6$ loop found in all other integrins (Fig. 3g).

Ligand binding. The R²¹⁵GDLATI²²¹ sequence motif in the TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide binds to $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ (Fig. 2e). The ligand Arg-215 sidechain hydrogen bonds to the αV Asp-218 sidechain. The ligand Asp-217 sidechain coordinates the MIDAS Mg^{2+} . Compared to unliganded $\beta 8$, specificity-determining loop 1 (SDL1) at the beginning of the $\alpha 1$ -helix with its MIDAS-coordinating residues moves toward the Mg^{2+} . This movement enables coordination of Ser-116, that is, the β -MIDAS S5 residue, to the MIDAS Mg^{2+} and a hydrogen bond of the Asp-217 sidechain to the SDL1 backbone. The orientations of Arg-215 and Asp-217 sidechains are supported by hydrogen bonds of their backbones to $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$. The ligand LATI sequence has an α -helix-like conformation with its hydrophobic Leu-218 and Ile-221 in a pocket




Fig. 2 αVβ8 headpiece structure and ligand-binding site. **a–c** Overall headpiece structures and **d–f** ligand-binding sites of αVβ8 (**a, d**), αVβ8 with ligand (**b, e**), and αVβ6 with ligand (**c, f**)¹⁷. The color scheme in **d–f** is the same as in **a–c**. In αVβ8 the PSI and EGF-1 domains are missing in electron density as are portions of the hybrid domain; shorter missing breaks in the hybrid domain are dashed. In all panels, structure representation in PyMol shows ribbon cartoon, key sidechains with oxygens in red and nitrogens in blue, disulfides in yellow, metals in the βI domain as spheres, and metal coordination bonds and key hydrogen bonds as dashed lines. Waters are shown as small red spheres. **g** βI domain regions that move in αIIbβ3 in typical integrins are compared to their counterparts in αVβ8 and shown in colored worm-like traces, while non-mobile regions are shown in gray ribbon cartoon. Metal ions are shown as spheres with the same color code as worm-like traces. Structures are closed, unliganded (PDB code 3T3P) and open, liganded (2VDR) αIIbβ3 and unliganded (chains A and B), and liganded (chains C and D) of αVβ8.

formed by the β8 SDL2 loop. In unliganded αVβ8, nine residues in the SDL2 loop, including one of the disulfide-bonded Cys residues, are disordered (Fig. 2d). Contact with hydrophobic ligand residues Leu-218 and Ile-221 contributes to SDL2 ordering, including of residue Tyr-172 (Fig. 2d, e).

The overall binding mode is similar to that of a TGF-β3 peptide bound to αVβ6 (Fig. 2f) and a lower resolution structure of dimeric pro-TGF-β1 bound to αVβ6²⁰. However, there are important differences. In absence of ligand, the SDL2 loop of β6 is ordered, correlating with the presence of multiple backbone hydrogen bonds¹⁷. Furthermore, when ligand was soaked into αVβ8 crystals, Ser-116 in the βI α1-helix came into direct

coordination with the MIDAS Mg^{2+} ion, while when αVβ6 crystals were soaked with ligand, the corresponding S5 residue, Ser-127 did not (Fig. 2e, f). These differences occur because during soaking, binding of ligand to αVβ8 induces far more movement of the S5 residue and the α1-helix that bears it than occurs in αVβ6 (Fig. 4). In αVβ8, the S5 residue Ca atom moves about 1.4 Å during soaking to a position that is within 1.1 Å of the position of S5 in fully open integrin αIIbβ3. In contrast, little movement of S5 occurs in integrin αVβ6 during soaking, since its position remains close to that observed in fully closed, unliganded integrins (Fig. 4). In contrast, when ligand is bound to αVβ6 first, and positions of its domains and especially its hybrid domain are




Fig. 3 Residues important in β domain allostery. **a–f** The mobile portion of the β I domain is shown in **a** unliganded α V β 8, chain D; **b** liganded α V β 8, chain B; **c** liganded α V β 6 (4UM9, chain B); **d** liganded α IIb β 3 in state 1 (3ZDY, chain D); **e** liganded α IIb β 3 in state 7 (3ZDZ, chain B); and **f** liganded α IIb β 3 in state 8 (2VDR, chain B). Structure representation is as in Fig. 2, except that sidechain carbons are in silver and mainchain carbons are in green. Vertical dashed lines mark the position of the β -MIDAS motif D8 C α atom in α IIb β 3 state 1 (**d**). **g** Sequences of all human integrin β I domains in regions that are important in ligand binding, shape shifting, or appear to have unusual residues in β 8. Residues with sidechain or mainchain coordination to the MIDAS or ADMIDAS in typical integrins are asterisked in blue and orange, respectively. β -MIDAS residue positions and β 8-subunit residue numbers are shown at the bottom.

not restrained by crystal lattice contacts, its S5 residue moves 1.5 Å further to a fully open position and directly coordinates the MIDAS Mg^{2+} ion (Fig. 3). This movement is expected to increase affinity because the ligand-binding pocket is tightened up, and it enables direct coordination of the S5 residue to Mg^{2+} , enhancing covalent-like coordination of Mg^{2+} to ligand. Moreover, the energetic cost of inducing a change in structure of the integrin can only be paid thermodynamically if the intermediate structure has higher affinity for ligand than the closed structure.

Ligand-induced shape shifting and unique α V β 8 features. Soaking ligand into α V β 8 crystals induces movement toward the

ligand of the S5 and N8 residues and the α 1-helix in which they locate to positions that are intermediate between closed and open (Figs. 3 and 4). Eight states along the conformational change pathway, including closed state 1, open state 8, and intermediate states 2–7, have been captured in α IIb β 3 crystal structures²¹. α IIb β 3 states 1, 7, and 8 are shown in Fig. 3d–f for comparison to α V β 8. Throughout the shape-shifting process, the α 1-helix, with SDL1 at its tip (Fig. 3g), moves toward the ligand and tightens its binding pocket at the MIDAS. The ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion moves with its coordinating D8 and D9 residues in the α 1-helix. The position of the β -MIDAS D8 residue or N8 residue in β 8 is marked with a C α -sphere in Fig. 3a–f. For comparison among superimposed β I domains, the vertical dashed lines in




Fig. 4 Measurements from crystal structures of the relative positions of β -MIDAS S5 and D/N8 residues. Distances from an open conformation structure (integrin α Ib β 3, PDB code 2VDR) of β -MIDAS S5 and D/N8 residues in liganded and unliganded integrin structures. Measurements show C α atom—C α atom distances. Numbers mark closed (1), open (8), and intermediate (2–7) ligand-bound states defined in a study in which ligand was soaked at different concentrations in Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺ into crystals containing two independent copies of the closed α Ib β 3 headpiece²¹. Crystal structures with missing β I domain metals or lacking deposited structure factors were not plotted. Representative β I domains from each integrin β -subunit were superimposed with Deep Align³⁶. Each independent molecule in asymmetric units was then superimposed on the cognate β -subunit and distances were measured with PyMol to one-hundredth Å. The PDB code and chain ID of the plotted structure models listed as PDB/Chain ID in order of increasing S5 difference are 2VDR/B, 3ZE2/D, 4MMY/B, 5FFO/F, 4MMX/B, 5FFO/B, 4NEH/B, 3ZE0/B, 3ZE1/B, 3ZDZ/B, 3ZE2/B, 3ZE1/D, 3ZE0/D, 6OM2/B, 4NEN/B, 6OM2/D, 4WK4/B, 3VI4/B, 4WK2/B, 3ZDZ/D, 3VI4/D, 3ZDY/B, 4UM9/B, 3V4P/B, 3V4P/D, 4UM9/D, 6OM1/B, 5ES4/B, 6OM1/H, 6OM1/F, 3FCS/B, 4MMZ/B, 3FCS/D, 6OM1/D, 3ZDY/D, 3T3P/B, 3ZDX/B, 5E6U/B, 4WK0/B, 3T3P/D, 3ZDX/D, 5E6R/B, 5E6S/B, 4WJK/B, 3VI3/D, 3VI3/B.

Fig. 3a–f mark the position of the state 1 α Ib β 3 D8 residue Ca atom sphere. Between closed state 1 and open state 8 in α Ib β 3 (Fig. 3d–f), the ADMIDAS metal ion moves 3.6 Å, the β -MIDAS D8 residue moves 3.5 Å, and the β -MIDAS S5 residue moves 2.3 Å (Fig. 4). In response to binding soaked-in TGF- β 1 peptide, in α V β 8 the β -MIDAS D/N8 equivalent Asn-119 residue moves 1.8 Å and the β -MIDAS S5 Ser-116 residue moves 1.4 Å (Fig. 2g). Thus, although α V β 8 does not exhibit headpiece opening in electron microscopy (EM) and lacks an ADMIDAS, its β -MIDAS S5 residue moves substantially towards the ligand-binding pocket.

The β 8 β I domain not only lacks an ADMIDAS but also displays differences from all previously structurally characterized integrin β I domains in the α 1 and α 1' helices and the β 6- α 7 loop. β 8 is exceptional for lacking electron density for residues between the β I domain α 1 and α 1' helices in one of four different unliganded molecules and one of two different liganded molecules in crystal asymmetric units (Fig. 3a, b). Disorder at this position has not been seen in any of a large number of previous integrin crystal structures and appears to be related to an unusual conformation of the β 8 β I domain β 6- α 7 loop that makes it unengaged with the α 1 and α 1' helices, as discussed in the next paragraph. Furthermore, the α 1'-helix in β 8 differs in position by 1.5 Å from other integrins (Fig. 2g). None of these differences are at lattice contacts in the α V β 8 crystal structures.

β 8 differs from typical integrins in lacking an (Asp/Asn)-Ser motif in the β 6- α 7 loop (Fig. 3g), which enforces a stereotypical

loop conformation in all previously crystallized integrins. The Asp sidechain of this motif (D339 in β 6 and D336 in β 3) hydrogen bonds to its own backbone nitrogen (at the 0 position) and that of the residue in the +2 position to stabilize the turn between the β 6-strand and α 7-helix (Fig. 3c, d). The Ser residue often present in the +2 position (S338 in β 3) further stabilizes the turn by also hydrogen bonding to the Asp (Fig. 3d). Importantly, the hydrogen bonds to the β 6- α 7 peptide backbone stabilize the orientation of the carbonyl oxygen of the residue in the –1 position, which coordinates to the ADMIDAS metal ion (Fig. 3c, d). The Ser residue in the +1 position of the (Asp/Asn)-Ser motif of typical integrins hydrogen bonds to an ADMIDAS-coordinating Asp, and, in some integrins, also to other residues in the α 1-helix such as Thr-134 in β 6 (Fig. 3c). Finally, in place of hydrophilic β 8 Asn-332 (Fig. 3a, b), all other integrins contain a hydrophobic Leu, Val, or Ile residue (Fig. 3g), which stabilizes interaction with the α 1'-helix (Leu-344 β 6 and Leu-341 in β 3) (Fig. 3c–f). Thus, the β 6- α 7 loop in non- β 8 integrins has a specific hydrogen bond-stabilized conformation and sequence of amino acid sidechains that are integral to promoting multiple interactions of the β 6- α 7 loop with the ADMIDAS, α 1-helix, and α 1'-helix.

In place of the Asp/Asn residue at the tip of the β 6- α 7 loop in typical integrins (Fig. 4g), Lys-327 in β 8 locates 6 Å more distal from the α 1-helix (Fig. 3a–d). The lack of restraining interactions with the β 6- α 7 loop is expected to enable greater movement of the β 1- α 1 loop and α 1-helix toward bound ligand in β 8 than in typical integrin β -subunits. In typical integrins, the β 6- α 7 loop, and particularly the Ser of the (Asp/Asn)-Ser motif, hinders α 1-helix movement toward ligand and the pivoting movement of the α 1'-helix when it fuses with the α 1-helix (Fig. 3, panel f compared to a–e). In states visualized in α Ib β 3 crystals, this Ser, Ser-337, moves only 0 to 0.5 Å from state 1 to state 6, but 11 Å in state 7, and 7 Å in open state 8 (Fig. 3d–f).

It appears that the position of the β I domain β 6- α 7 loop in β 8 would accommodate tilting of the α 1'-helix and its fusion with the α 1-helix, without requiring pistoning of the α 7-helix and swing-out of the hybrid domain as seen in typical integrins. The unique features of the β 8-subunit may enable intermediate or even complete movement of SDL1 toward the open conformation to be dissociated from pistoning of the α 7-helix and swing-out of the hybrid domain (Fig. 1f). These features may be responsible for the finding that in contrast to other integrins, when α V β 8 binds ligand, headpiece opening as assessed by hybrid domain swing-out is not visualized in EM^{14,15}.

HDX mass spectrometry. HDX mass spectrometry (MS) on the α V β 6 and α V β 8 headpieces showed similar backbone dynamics of their α V-subunits including slow exchange in the β -propeller domain and interesting differences in dynamics of their β 6- and β 8-subunits. Multiple peptides covering the β I domain α 1 and α 1' helices generally showed more rapid exchange of backbone amide hydrogens in β 8 than β 6 (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2), although these differences cannot be accurately quantified given that the sequences of β 6 and β 8 are not identical and totally deuterated proteins for control studies could not be prepared. Nevertheless, the results show that the α 1- α 1' region is generally more mobile in β 8 than in β 6, in agreement with disorder of α 1- α 1' helix residues in the β 8 structure.

The effect of ligand binding on α V β 6 and α V β 8 was also examined by HDX MS. In the α V β -propeller domain, Tyr-178 and Asp-218 bind Arg-215 of TGF- β 1 (Fig. 2e). In the C-terminal half of the region between these residues, ligand binding slowed the exchange of peptides in both integrins (Fig. 6a, c). Three regions were affected in the β I domains of both integrins




Fig. 5 Hydrogen-deuterium exchange dynamics. HDX of $\alpha V\beta 8$ and $\alpha V\beta 6$ headpiece fragments in presence or absence of TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide at 1 min. (**a–d**). Close-ups of the βI domain around the ligand-binding site. (**e–h**). The β -propeller, hybrid, and βI domains. Cartoon diagrams are colored according to the key for deuterium exchange at 1 min. for a single set of non-overlapping peptides (**a–d**) or for all peptides (**e–h**), except TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide is shown in magenta. As HDX data covers regions disordered in crystal structures, the structure of SDL2 from liganded $\alpha V\beta 8$ and the hybrid domain from $\alpha V\beta 6$ are used to model disordered regions of $\alpha V\beta 8$; furthermore, the hybrid domain of $\alpha V\beta 6$ swings out in presence of ligand and its position is modeled on $\alpha I\beta 3$.

(Fig. 6b, d–h). We chose a 1 Da cutoff to mark HDX differences that are clearly above noise and are likely meaningful structurally. Other changes in the range of 0.5–1.0 Da are above triplicate variation and may also have limited importance. In $\alpha V\beta 8$, the relatively rapid exchange of the $\alpha 1$ -helix was augmented at 10 s by ligand binding (Fig. 6b). In $\alpha V\beta 6$ by contrast, exchange in the $\alpha 1$ -helix was decreased at early time points by ligand binding (Fig. 6d). In SDL2, ligand binding greatly decreased exchange in both integrins, in agreement with the ordering of SDL2 in $\alpha V\beta 8$ (Fig. 6b, d). In the absence of ligand, generally faster exchange of SDL2 in $\beta 8$ than $\beta 6$ was in agreement with disorder in $\beta 8$ and not $\beta 6$ crystal structures (Figs. 5a, d and 6e, g). Finally, ligand binding slowed exchange in peptides encompassing SDL3 between the $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$ helices in both integrins (Figs. 5a–d and 6b, d–g). SDL3 underlies the ligand-binding site in both $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$ and forms multiple hydrogen bonds to the ligand RGD moiety (Fig. 2e, f). Detailed comparisons between $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$ are not possible in SDL2 and SDL3 because in addition to the effects of sequence differences on rates of exchange, the lengths of the peptides and the positions of their midpoints plotted in Fig. 6b, d varies, as shown by plotting the peptides (Fig. 6e–h).

Unique features in $\alpha V\beta 8$ regulate ligand-binding affinity. To test the significance of structural differences associated with specific sequence differences in $\beta 8$ compared to other integrins, we investigated their effect on ligand-binding affinity and the ability of Mn^{2+} to augment this affinity. Residues in shape-shifting interfaces within the βI domain engaged in distinctive interactions in $\beta 8$ and $\beta 6$ were exchanged, including those in the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices and the $\beta 5$ – $\alpha 6$ and $\beta 6$ – $\alpha 7$ loops (Figs. 3g and 7a). Over the entire ectodomain, $\beta 8$ and $\beta 6$ are 40% identical, and identity is highest in the βI domain, at 48%. Affinities were measured by fluorescence polarization by binding to fluorescently labeled pro-TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide in solution (Fig. 7b and

Supplementary Fig. 3). Introducing all 19 $\beta 6$ residues into $\beta 8$ in $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut5 lowered affinity in Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} by 5- and 3-fold, respectively. Surprisingly, exchange of three $\alpha 1$ -helix residues including NN to DD at the ADMIDAS had no significant effect ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut6, Fig. 7a). Furthermore, exchange of both Asn residues ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut8) or one Asn residue plus the Thr residue found in all integrins except $\beta 8$ in the $\beta 5$ – $\alpha 6$ loop ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut1) raised affinity in Mg^{2+} by 2-fold (Fig. 7a). Because $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut3 showed a greater increase in affinity in Mn^{2+} (4-fold) than wild-type (WT) (2-fold) and $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut6 exchanged ADMIDAS residues thought to be important in headpiece opening, these mutants were tested for headpiece opening in presence of ligand and Mn^{2+} . Negative stain EM showed that like $\alpha V\beta 8$ -WT, and unlike $\alpha V\beta 6$ -WT, the headpiece of $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut3 and $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut6 remained closed when bound to pro-TGF- $\beta 1$ in Mn^{2+} (Fig. 7c and Supplementary Fig. 4). The role of the ADMIDAS Asn residues in $\alpha V\beta 8$ was further tested by mutation to alanine. $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut7 showed a 7- and 3-fold decrease in affinity in Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} , respectively (Fig. 7a).

We further measured the importance of the residues in shape-shifting regions more C-terminal than the ADMIDAS. Although residues Phe-137 and Phe-138 at the C-terminus of the $\alpha 1'$ -helix are bulkier and more hydrophobic than those in other integrins (Fig. 3g), their exchange in $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut4 only modestly increased affinity relative to WT, consistent with the difference in affinity between $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut3 and $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut5, which differ by the same two residues. Mutant $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut2 exchanged residues in the $\beta 4$ -strand, $\beta 5$ – $\alpha 6$ and $\beta 6$ – $\alpha 7$ loops, and $\alpha 7$ -helix (14 residues including 7 in the $\beta 6$ – $\alpha 7$ loop and 3 in the $\alpha 7$ -helix). $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut9 and mut10 exchanged only two and three residues in the $\beta 6$ – $\alpha 7$ loop, respectively. All three mutants showed similar decreases in affinity of 2- to 3-fold in both Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} (Fig. 7a). Overall, the results show that residues that are unique to $\beta 8$ compared to all other integrins and are in regions that change shape between the unliganded and liganded states of $\alpha V\beta 8$ are




Fig. 6 Effect of ligand binding on deuterium exchange. **a–d** Differences in HDX with and without saturating concentrations of TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide G²¹³RRGDLATHIG²²³ for $\alpha V\beta 8$ and $\alpha V\beta 6$ are shown for each peptide plotted at the midpoint of its sequence position for a portion of the β -propeller domain and the entire βI domain. The equation for subtraction was $(D_{\text{liganded}} - D_{\text{unliganded}})$. Differences > 1 Da (dashed lines) are considered meaningful. All HDX data are presented in Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2. **e–h** Details of the ligand-binding region of the βI domain. Exchange in each peptide is colored according to the key.

important for the ability of $\alpha V\beta 8$ to bind ligand with high affinity in the absence of headpiece opening. Surprisingly, we also found that residues in the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop made an important contribution to the specialization of $\alpha V\beta 8$ to bind ligand with high affinity, in correlation with the unique, unengaged structure of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in $\beta 8$.

Most interestingly, while many substitutions to $\beta 6$ residues lowered affinity of $\alpha V\beta 8$, many substitutions to $\beta 8$ residues raised affinity of $\alpha V\beta 6$. Affinity of the $\alpha V\beta 6$ -NN mutant was increased 6-fold, suggesting that lack of an ADMIDAS metal ion may enable greater shifting toward the open conformation of the βI domain in the absence of hybrid domain swing-out (Fig. 7a). Even more dramatically, replacing the DS motif in the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop of $\alpha V\beta 6$ with $\beta 8$ sequence in the $\alpha V\beta 6$ -DS mutation increased

affinity 13-fold, demonstrating the previously unsuspected importance of this loop for maintaining the low-affinity state.

Discussion

Integrin $\alpha V\beta 8$ has multiple differences from typical integrins that may relate to its distinctive, non-talin-dependent activation mechanism. In typical integrins, the D8 and D9 β -MIDAS residues provide the only sidechains that coordinate the ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion. Their substitution with Asn in $\beta 8$ results in a lack of a bound metal ion, and different sidechain orientations. The $\beta 8$ β -MIDAS N9 Asn residue forms a sidechain-sidechain hydrogen bond to Gln-302 in the $\beta 5$ - $\alpha 6$ loop, at which position all other integrins have a Thr residue (Fig. 3g). We examined




Fig. 7 Regulation of ligand-binding affinity by atypical and typical residues in α V β 8 and α V β 6. **a** Mutations corresponding to sequence exchanges between α V β 8 (black) and α V β 6 (red) and effect on affinity for FITC-labeled TGF- β 3 ligand peptide (GRGDLRKK) in presence of Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} . K_D and [99% confidence interval] values were determined by fluorescence polarization and fits using the NonLinearModelFit function of Mathematica (Wolfram, Champaign, IL) of all data from measurements in triplicate of two different experiments done in different months. As in statistical models for determining p values, the fit assumes that errors are independent and normally distributed. The reported fit minimizes the sum of the squared errors. If two values have 99% confidence intervals that do not overlap, then the two values by definition have <1% chance of being different by chance alone, that is, the p value is <0.01. Therefore, mutant K_D values with confidence intervals that do not overlap with those of WT are significantly different ($p < 0.01$) and are asterisked. **b** Representative fluorescence polarization (FP) of α V β 8-mut3 from one triplicate experiment (average $\pm$ s.d) with fit (line). Source data for **a**, **b** are provided as a Source Data file. **c** Representative negative stain class averages of mutant or WT integrin headpieces alone (upper panels) or complexed with pro-TGF- β 1. Representative 2:1 pro-TGF- β 1:integrin class averages are shown. The WT integrin class averages shown for comparison are previously published¹⁴. **d**, **e** Residues in regions of β 1 domain allosteric with unusual properties in α V β 8 (**d**) are compared to counterparts in α V β 6 (**e**).

conservation of N8 (Asn-119), N9 (Asn-120), and Gln-302 in integrin $\beta 8$ in evolution. All three residues are invariant in mammals and chicken. Among fish (zebrafish, Japanese rice fish, spotted gar, and elephant shark), only Asn-120 (N9) is invariant. Asn-119 (N8) is found as Asp (twice), Glu, and Ala. Gln-302 is found as Asp (twice), Glu, and Gln. The sidechains of all of these residues at position 302 would be capable of hydrogen bonding to the invariant Asn-120 residue at position N9.

In typical integrins, the key process in raising integrin affinity for ligand during opening is movement of SDL1 in the $\alpha 1$ -helix toward the ligand and the MIDAS Mg^{2+} ion. Movement brings the Ser S5 β -MIDAS residue into direct coordination with the MIDAS metal ion, increases hydrogen bonding of the ligand Asp sidechain to the $\beta 1$ - $\alpha 1$ loop and $\alpha 1$ -helix backbone, and tightens the ligand-binding pocket. Lessened exposure to solvent and the network of hydrogen bonds formed around the partially covalent ligand- Mg^{2+} coordination bond increases its strength as explained in the Ligand-binding section of Results. We found that soaking ligand into crystals of $\alpha V\beta 8$ induced substantial movement of SDL1 and the $\alpha 1$ -helix toward the ligand, coordination of the S5 serine sidechain with the MIDAS Mg^{2+} , and hydrogen bonding of the SDL1 backbone to the ligand Asp sidechain. This liganded state of $\alpha V\beta 8$ is intermediate between closed and open. In terms of the extent of movement toward the open state, wide variation is seen among integrins in crystals that are soaked with ligand. As shown with the talin-binding integrin $\alpha IIb\beta 3$, the addition of Mn^{2+} greatly increases the extent of movement toward the open state induced by soaking with ligand²¹ (Fig. 4). Among crystallized integrins soaked with ligand in Mg^{2+} , $\alpha V\beta 8$ shifts more than any other integrin (Fig. 4), consistent with its specialized features that enable increased affinity without hybrid domain swing-out, as demonstrated here by mutagenesis and EM.

In $\alpha 4\beta 1$ and $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrins, complete transition from closed to open increases affinity for ligand by 700- and 4000-fold, respectively⁹. In $\alpha IIb\beta 3$ crystals formed in the absence of ligand with the headpiece in the closed conformation, with two independent molecules in the crystal asymmetric unit, soaking in 10 mM RGD ligand in Mg^{2+}/Ca^{2+} resulted in no conformational change in one molecule that remained in state 1 (closed) and a slight shift in the other to state 2²¹. In 1 mM RGD ligand in Mn^{2+}/Ca^{2+} , greater shift to state 3 occurred, and as ligand was increased from 3 to 5 to 10 mM in Mn^{2+}/Ca^{2+} , shifting gradually increased until reaching state 6 and finally, state 8 (open) (Fig. 4). Movement of the Ser S5 β MIDAS residue occurred throughout this process. The finding that ligand drives conformational change along this structural continuum, and that the degree of conformational change is dependent on ligand concentration (at least between states 3 and 8, which were all in Mn^{2+}), shows that affinity for ligand increases along the same continuum. Additionally, only the increase in affinity for ligand can pay the energetic cost required for the structural shifts within the integrin and the crystal lattice.

In typical integrins, coordination of the ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion to the backbone carbonyl oxygen of the S5 β -MIDAS residue strongly restrains movement of this key residue and thereby hinders tightening of the ligand-binding pocket including formation of the direct coordination between the S5 Ser sidechain and the MIDAS Mg ion and the hydrogen bonds between the ligand Asp sidechain and the SDL1 backbone. The restraint is provided by the D8 and D9 β -MIDAS residues and the backbone carbonyl oxygen in the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop to which the ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion also coordinates. This hypothesis was verified in plots of S5 and D/N8 β -MIDAS residue Ca atom positions relative to those in an open structure among 45 independent examples of integrin structures (Fig. 4). S5 and D8 residues do not shift proportionally

to one another, but rather show a sigmoid relationship. S5 moves relatively more in the early stages of opening, and then D8 catches up after the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop moves and its coordination to the ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion is lost in state 7²¹. The lack of these restraints in the atypical $\beta 8$ -subunit is predicted to make the S5 β -MIDAS residue freer to move. It is not possible to verify this proposal from the measurements shown in Fig. 4, because crystal lattices and the conditions of soaking including ligand concentration and use of Mn^{2+} vs. Mg^{2+} , as well as integrin structural features, may all influence the extent of shape shifting. Nonetheless, $\alpha V\beta 8$ shifts more during soaking with ligand in Mg^{2+} than any other integrin yet tested, that is, $\alpha V\beta 6$, $\alpha IIb\beta 3$, $\alpha 5\beta 1$, and $\alpha 4\beta 7$ (Fig. 4). $\alpha X\beta 2$, also shown in Fig. 3, is not a direct comparison, because it was not soaked with ligand; it crystallized bound to its internal ligand, which in αI integrins binds to the same site to which αI -less integrins such as $\alpha V\beta 8$ bind their “external” ligands.

In addition to the lack of an ADMIDAS, distinctive features of $\alpha V\beta 8$ in the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices and $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop are also expected to favor movement of the S5 β -MIDAS residue and the $\alpha 1$ -helix toward the high-affinity state. Some examples of $\alpha V\beta 8$ in crystals had missing electron density in the region between the βI domain $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices, showing high flexibility. Furthermore, HDX showed that peptides encompassing the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices in the βI domain were much more flexible in $\alpha V\beta 8$ than in $\alpha V\beta 6$. One cause of this unusual flexibility is likely to be the unique position of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in the $\beta 8$ βI domain. All integrin β -subunits but $\beta 8$ have a (D/N)SXN motif in the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop (Fig. 3g). The first residue in this motif is at the tip of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop, and an oxygen in its Asp/Asn sidechain hydrogen bonds to two backbone NH groups in the loop. These hydrogen bonds stabilize a highly specific conformation of the loop that keeps it close to the $\alpha 1$ -helix until the final stage of opening when the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop reshapes and moves away (state 7 in Fig. 4). This movement permits $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helix merger and C-terminal pistoning of the $\alpha 7$ -helix with hybrid domain swing-out in open state 8.

Two of the mutants with the greatest introduction of $\beta 6$ residues into the $\beta 8$ -subunit were tested for hybrid domain swing-out. In contrast to results with $\alpha V\beta 6$, neither mutant showed the open headpiece when bound to pro-TGF- $\beta 1$. Our structure of $\alpha V\beta 8$ shows that when ligand is soaked in, the βI domain shifts to a state intermediate between closed and open, as observed for typical integrins. Thus far, typical integrins show complete headpiece opening when co-crystallized with ligand, and when observed by EM when bound to ligand. Our results show that substitution with a set of up to 19 putatively atypical $\beta 8$ residues with typical integrin residues in the βI domain was not sufficient to enable headpiece opening. We were unable to test whether lack of hybrid domain swing-out in $\beta 8$ was intrinsic to its βI domain. Poor expression of β -subunit chimeras with βI domains swapped between $\beta 8$ and $\beta 6$ suggested structural incompatibilities. The βI -hybrid interface in $\beta 8$ is typical in size and does not have an unusual number of hydrogen bonds (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Substitution of most residues from $\beta 6$ for atypical residues in $\beta 8$ lowered $\alpha V\beta 8$ affinity for TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide and substitution of atypical residues from $\beta 8$ for typical residues raised $\alpha V\beta 6$ affinity for TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide. These findings suggest that the atypical residues in $\beta 8$ enable greater movement of SDL1 toward the ligand when ligand is bound than in typical integrins. The movements in $\beta 8$ might correspond to greater movement to an intermediate conformation than is possible in typical integrins in the absence of hybrid domain swing-out, and in the absence of crystal lattice restraints, might extend to complete opening of the βI domain in the absence of hybrid domain swing-out.

Mn^{2+} increases affinity of $\alpha V\beta 6$ in part by stabilizing headpiece opening¹². Mn^{2+} boosted affinity of native $\alpha V\beta 6$ by 12-fold

and of $\alpha V\beta 8$ by 2-fold, correlating with the lack of hybrid domain swing-out in $\alpha V\beta 8$. Replacing D8 and D9 in $\alpha V\beta 6$ with N8 and N9 increased affinity in Mg^{2+} by 6-fold and decreased responsiveness to Mn^{2+} to 3-fold compared to 12-fold in WT. This result is compatible with restraint of $\alpha 1$ -helix/SDL1 movement by ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} coordination and activation by Mn^{2+} by replacement of Ca^{2+} at the ADMIDAS²². Results with $\alpha V\beta 8$ were more complex. Substitution of one or both Asn with Asp increased affinity by 2-fold. However, when a nearby K123T mutation was added (DDT), there was no affinity increase compared to WT ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut6). Furthermore, DDT + VTQE + LQKDSGNILQ ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut3) was 3.5-fold lower in affinity than VTQE + LQKDSGNILQ ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut2, Fig. 7a), rather than equal in affinity as expected from the equal affinities of DDT ($\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut6) and WT. The effects of N in the D8 and D9 positions are thus dependent on the nature of residues in other positions in the βI domain shape-shifting interface. Measurement of the amount of vitronectin binding to cells, rather than affinity, with $\alpha V\beta 3$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$ showed similar binding with WT and N8/N9 $\alpha V\beta 3$ and decreased binding of D8/D9 $\alpha V\beta 8$ compared to WT²³. The reasons for these differences with the results here on pro-TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide affinity for $\alpha V\beta 8$ are unclear and are unlikely to be related to differences between use of headpiece versus intact integrins because the presence of the legs and TM domains are unlikely to have an effect on conformational equilibria in the absence of the conformations in $\alpha V\beta 8$ where they make a difference, that is, the bent-closed and extended-open conformations⁹.

The importance of having either Asn or Asp at the β -MIDAS 8 and 9 positions was revealed by decrease in affinity of both $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$ after mutation to alanine. In the open conformation of typical integrins, the ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion coordinates the Asp in the $\beta 4$ - $\alpha 5$ loop and stabilizes its position in the outer coordination shell of the MIDAS Mg^{2+} ion^{20,24}. Perhaps, in the absence of an ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion, a hydrogen bond with the N8 Asn in $\beta 8$ or the N8 Asn in N8/N9 mutant $\alpha V\beta 6$ provides a similar stabilizing role.

The conformation of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in $\alpha V\beta 8$ is unique compared to the structure of this loop in the integrin $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 3$, $\beta 6$, and $\beta 7$ subunits. These represent five of the six talin/kindlin-binding integrin β -subunits and 21 of the 22 talin/kindlin-binding integrin $\alpha\beta$ heterodimers. The conformation of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop is essentially identical in the closed conformations of the latter integrins. This highly conserved $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop conformation is explained here by our observation of a (D/N)S motif with the Asp or Asn sidechain hydrogen bonding to two adjacent backbone amides to stabilize the tip of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop. Importantly, the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in the closed conformation packs against the $\alpha 1$ -helix and the backbone carbonyl oxygen of the residue immediately preceding the (D/N)S motif coordinates the ADMIDAS Ca^{2+} ion. Both interactions stabilize the SDL1/ $\alpha 1$ -helix position in the low-affinity, closed conformation.

In typical integrins, complete movement of the SDL1/ $\alpha 1$ -helix to the open state is only allowed when the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop moves away from the $\alpha 1$ -helix and toward the hybrid domain, which makes way for tilting of the $\alpha 1'$ -helix and its fusion to the $\alpha 1$ -helix and is accompanied by pistoning of the $\alpha 7$ -helix toward the hybrid domain and swing-out of the hybrid domain, giving the open headpiece conformation (Fig. 1a–c). In $\beta 8$, the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop is distal from the $\alpha 1$ -helix, like the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in intermediate state 7 of $\beta 3$ and open state 8 of $\beta 3$ and $\beta 6$, and in a position where complete SDL1/ $\alpha 1$ -helix movement and $\alpha 1'$ -helix tilting and merger appear possible without $\alpha 7$ -helix pistoning and headpiece opening (Fig. 1d–f).

Mutations verified an important role for the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in regulating affinity of both $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$. Replacing the DS motif of $\beta 6$ with KA from $\beta 8$ resulted in a 13-fold increase in affinity of $\alpha V\beta 6$. Conversely, replacing KA with DS or KAA with DSS

decreased $\alpha V\beta 8$ affinity by 1.8- and 2.8-fold, respectively. These results demonstrate an important and previously unexpected role of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in regulating affinity of both typical integrins and atypical integrin $\alpha V\beta 8$. The results suggest that in typical integrins, the DS motif maintains a conformation of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop that restrains SDL1/ $\alpha 1$ -helix movement, whereas the lack of this motif and the unengaged conformation of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop are permissive of SDL1/ $\alpha 1$ -helix movement toward the open conformation.

Our structures of liganded and unliganded $\alpha V\beta 8$ suggest that tightening of the ligand-binding pocket in $\alpha V\beta 8$ with MIDAS-proximal movement of SDL1 to an open-like conformation is plausible in the absence of hybrid domain swing-out. In the open βI domain conformation of typical integrins, the $\alpha 1'$ -helix pivots and invades the space occupied by the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in its closed conformation. Because the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop in $\beta 8$ is atypically distal from the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices, space is available for $\alpha 1'$ -helix pivoting and fusion with the $\alpha 1$ -helix. Together with the lack of ADMIDAS metal coordination bonds, the altered $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop creates more freedom to accommodate $\alpha 1$ -helix movement to its conformation in the open βI domain. Our studies explain why ligand binding does not induce headpiece opening of $\alpha V\beta 8$. However, as none of the unusual features of the $\beta 8$ βI domain would be near the integrin lower legs in the bent integrin conformation, they do not explain the low abundance of the bent conformation for $\alpha V\beta 8$.

The structure and mutagenesis results reported here suggest that the $\beta 8$ βI domain may open in the absence of hybrid domain swing-out. The atypical structural features of the $\beta 8$ βI domain, including the disengagement of the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop from the $\alpha 1$ -helix, suggest that complete movement of SDL1 with the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helices toward the ligand and $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helix merger, resulting in high affinity for ligand, may occur without requiring the $\alpha 7$ -helix pistoning and hybrid domain swing-out that is seen in typical integrins (Fig. 1c, f). Thus, $\alpha V\beta 8$ appears to have two states, one of which is found in typical integrins, extended-closed, and another which appears unique, extended with a βI domain in which the $\alpha 1$ -helix is in a partially or fully open position and the $\alpha 7$ -helix and hybrid domain are in a closed position.

The unique conformational ensemble of $\alpha V\beta 8$ correlates with its unique linkage among integrins not to talin but to Band 4.1. We have revealed in $\alpha V\beta 8$ the striking absence of an ADMIDAS, a more mobile SDL1/ $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 1'$ helix, and a $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop that is disengaged from the $\alpha 1$ -helix. Moreover, our demonstration that a (D/N)S motif in the $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 7$ loop stabilizes typical integrins in their low-affinity state revealed insights into the structural principles that regulate activation not only of atypical integrin $\alpha V\beta 8$ but also of typical integrins. Distinct structural features in βI domains may tune them to be activated by adaptors that couple to different cellular cytoskeletal systems.

Methods

Proteins. An $\alpha V\beta 8$ headpiece construct with an αV - $\beta 8$ disulfide was prepared and expressed in HEK293S GntI^{-/-} cells exactly as previously described¹⁴. Cells were obtained from the authors²⁵, were mycoplasma tested several times per year, and were validated by endoglycosidase H treatment of secreted glycoprotein. Briefly, residues 1–594 of the αV -subunit and 1–456 of the $\beta 8$ -subunit were cloned into modified pcDNA3.1 and ET10 expression vectors, respectively. Mutations M400C in αV and V259C in $\beta 8$ formed a disulfide to covalently stabilize the heterodimer. Purification with Ni-affinity chromatography, removal of tags, ion exchange, and gel filtration were as described for $\alpha V\beta 6$ ¹⁷, except the gradient with Sepharose Q was from 50 to 150 mM NaCl and gel filtration was with Superdex 75 in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, and 1 mM $CaCl_2$. Fractions were concentrated to 4.5 mg/ml and stored at $-80^\circ C$ in aliquots. Mutant fragments in the same expression vector were transiently expressed with the αV -subunit using FetcoPro (PolyPlus, Strasbourg, France) in suspension Expi293 cells. Supernatants were collected after 6 days and protein was purified as described above. Human pro-TGF β -1 with a R249A cleavage site mutation was prepared as described²⁰.

Crystal structures. Hanging drop $\alpha V\beta 8$ headpiece crystals grew in 0.1 M MES (2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid), pH 6.7, 12% polyethylene glycol (PEG)

20,000 at 4 °C. To improve electron density on the $\beta 8$ -subunit, crystals were dehydrated by soaking in solutions that had the starting concentrations of components in the protein and reservoir solutions while raising the concentration of PEG 20,000 to 20% in 2% steps. Additionally, soaking solutions contained 20 mM Mg^{2+} and 10 mM Ca^{2+} (unliganded structure) or 1 mM TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide (G²¹³RRGDLATHG²²³), 10 mM Mg^{2+} , and 2 mM Ca^{2+} (liganded structure). Processing and diffraction limit determination were with XDS²⁶ and CC_{1/2}²⁷, respectively. The liganded structure was solved by molecular replacement with $\alpha V\beta 6$ (4UM9) using PHASER in Phenix²⁸ and subsequently used to solve the unliganded structure. Structures were refined with PHENIX, built with Coot²⁹, and validated with MolProbity³⁰. Representative electron density is shown in Supplementary Fig. 6. Figures were made with PyMol (Schrödinger, NY, NY). Structural data have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession numbers 6OM1 for unliganded $\alpha V\beta 8$ and 6OM2 for liganded $\alpha V\beta 8$.

Fluorescence polarization. Saturation binding was measured in HBS buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl), supplemented with 1 mM Mg^{2+} /1 mM Ca^{2+} or 1 mM Mn^{2+} /0.2 mM Ca^{2+} with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled pro-TGF- $\beta 3$ peptide (FITC-Aminocaproic-GRGDLGRLLK) probe. $\alpha V\beta 8$ was serially diluted in 1.4-fold decrements and mixed with 5 nM of probe at 20 °C for 30 min. Fitting fluorescence polarization as a function of integrin concentration at fixed probe concentrations yielded K_D values for fluorescent pro-TGF- $\beta 3$ peptide¹⁴.

Electron microscopy. $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut3 or $\alpha V\beta 8$ -mut6 (15 μ g) were mixed with pro-TGF- $\beta 1$ at molar ratio 1.5:1 in 50 μ l of HBS buffer containing 1 mM Mn^{2+} /0.2 mM Ca^{2+} for 30 min and injected in a 24 ml Superdex 75 gel filtration column pre-equilibrated with HBS buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Mn^{2+} /0.2 mM Ca^{2+}). The 2:2, 2:1 complexes and unbound $\alpha V\beta 8$ and pro-TGF- $\beta 1$ were well separated¹⁴. Peak complex fractions (~5 μ g/ml, as estimated by A_{280}) were loaded on glow-discharged carbon grids and fixed with uranyl formate. About 60 images with 52,000 magnification were collected on FEI Tecnai-12 transmission electron microscope at 120 kV with low-dose model. About 5000 particles were manually picked and subjected to multireference alignment and K -means classification by software SAMUEL³¹.

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange. Two microliters of $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$ stock solutions (23 and 33 μ M, respectively), either alone or mixed with 100 μ M TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide, were diluted 15-fold to 1.53 and 2.20 μ M, $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$, respectively, and 6.67 μ M TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide) with labeling buffer (Supplementary Table 1) at 21 °C to initiate deuterium exchange. Peptide K_D values of 12 and 30 nM for $\alpha V\beta 6$ and $\alpha V\beta 8$ (Fig. 7), respectively, predict binding to 99.8% and 99.6% of the integrin, respectively. At time points from 10 s to 240 m, an aliquot was removed and an equal volume of quench buffer (Supplementary Table 1) was added to adjust the pH to 2.5. Each sample (46 pmol $\alpha V\beta 6$ or 66 pmol $\alpha V\beta 8$) was immediately subjected to liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis as described in the next paragraphs and in our PRIDE submission (PXD014348). For binding experiments, protein and ligand were allowed to equilibrate for 20 min at 21 °C before deuterium labeling.

Deuterated and control samples were digested in solution with pepsin (10 mg/ml, Sigma P6887; Lot#SLBL1721V) for 5 min on ice, and then injected into an M-class Acquity UPLC with HDX technology (Waters)³². The cooling chamber of the UPLC system, which housed all the chromatographic elements was held at 0.0 ± 0.1 °C for the entire time of the measurements. The injected peptides were trapped and desalted for 3 min at 100 μ l/min using a BEH C18 2.1 $\times$ 5 mm² column (Waters, 186003975) and then separated in 14 min by a 5–40% acetonitrile:water gradient at 40 μ l/min. The separation column was a 1.0 $\times$ 100.0 mm² Acquity UPLC C18 BEH (Waters, 186002346) containing 1.7 μ m particles. The back pressure averaged 8800 psi at 0.1 °C³³. The error of determining the deuterium levels was ± 0.15 Da in this experimental setup. To eliminate peptide carryover, a wash solution of 1.5 M GnHCl, 0.8% formic acid, and 4% acetonitrile was injected after each run.

Mass spectra were acquired using a Waters Synapt G2-Si HDMS^E mass spectrometer in ion mobility mode. A conventional electrospray source was used and the instrument was scanned over the range 100 to 1900 m/z . The instrument configuration was the following: capillary was 3.2 kV, trap collision energy at 6 V, sampling cone at 35 V, source temperature of 80 °C, and desolvation temperature of 175 °C. All comparison experiments were done under identical experimental conditions such that deuterium levels were not corrected for back-exchange and are therefore reported as relative³⁴.

Peptides were identified using PLGS 3.0.1 (Waters, 720001408EN) using six replicates of undeuterated $\alpha V\beta 6$ and six replicates of undeuterated $\alpha V\beta 8$. Raw data were imported into DynamX 3.0 (Waters, 720005145EN) and filtered as follows: minimum number of products of 3; minimum consecutive products of 2; minimum number of products per amino acid of 0.2; maximum mass error of 10 p.p.m. Those peptides meeting the filtering criteria were further processed automatically by DynamX followed by manual inspection of all processing. Peptides with low signal-to-noise ratios in either bound or free states were removed. The relative amount of deuterium in each peptide was determined by subtracting the centroid mass of the undeuterated form of each peptide from the deuterated form, at each time point, for each condition. These deuterium uptake

values were used to generate uptake graphs and difference maps. Additional experimental details are found in Supplementary Table 1.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Raw HDX MS data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository³⁵ with the data set identifier PXD014348 [<http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PX014348>]. Source data and fit values have been provided for Fig. 7a, b and Supplementary Fig. 3a–t. Each panel's data appears as a tab in an excel file. The fit values reported in Fig. 7a come from each tab in this file. Figure 7b is a representative of one of the panels in Supplementary Fig. 3. Protein database accession IDs are 6OM1 for unliganded $\alpha V\beta 8$ headpiece and 6OM2 for liganded $\alpha V\beta 8$ headpiece. All other data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 14 May 2019; Accepted: 22 October 2019;

Published online: 02 December 2019

References

- Kürzinger, K., Ho, M. K. & Springer, T. A. Structural homology of a macrophage differentiation antigen and an antigen involved in T-cell-mediated killing. *Nature* **296**, 668–670 (1982).
- Springer, T. A., Teplow, D. B. & Dreyer, W. J. Sequence homology of the LFA-1 and Mac-1 leukocyte adhesion glycoproteins and unexpected relation to leukocyte interferon. *Nature* **314**, 540–542 (1985).
- Moyle, M., Napier, M. A. & McLean, J. W. Cloning and expression of a divergent integrin subunit $\beta 8$. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**, 19650–19658 (1991).
- Velling, T., Kusche-Gullberg, M., Sejersen, T. & Gullberg, D. cDNA cloning and chromosomal localization of human $\alpha(11)$ Integrin. A collagen-binding, i domain-containing, $\beta(1)$ -associated integrin α -chain present in muscle tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 25735–25742 (1999).
- Hinck, A. P., Mueller, T. D. & Springer, T. A. Structural biology and evolution of the TGF- β family. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **8**, <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022103> (2016).
- Nishimura, S. L. et al. Synaptic and glial localization of the integrin $\alpha V\beta 8$ in mouse and rat brain. *Brain Res.* **791**, 271–282 (1998).
- Qin, Y. et al. A Milieu molecule for TGF- β required for microglia function in the nervous system. *Cell* **174**, 156–171 e116 (2018).
- Calderwood, D. A., Campbell, I. D. & Critchley, D. R. Talins and kindlins: partners in integrin-mediated adhesion. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 503–517 (2013).
- Li, J. & Springer, T. A. Energy landscape differences among integrins establish the framework for understanding activation. *J. Cell Biol.* **217**, 397–412 (2018).
- Li, J. & Springer, T. A. Integrin extension enables ultrasensitive regulation by cytoskeletal force. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 4685–4690 (2017).
- Springer, T. A. & Dustin, M. L. Integrin inside-out signaling and the immunological synapse. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **24**, 107–115 (2012).
- Dong, X. et al. High integrin $\alpha V\beta 6$ affinity reached by hybrid domain deletion slows ligand-binding on-rate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E1429–E1436 (2018).
- McCarty, J. H., Cook, A. A. & Hynes, R. O. An interaction between $\alpha V\beta 8$ integrin and Band 4.1B via a highly conserved region of the Band 4.1 C-terminal domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 13479–13483 (2005).
- Wang, J. et al. Atypical interactions of integrin $\alpha V\beta 8$ with pro-TGF- $\beta 1$. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, E4168–E4174 (2017).
- Minagawa, S. et al. Selective targeting of TGF- β activation to treat fibroinflammatory airway disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **6**, 241ra279 (2014).
- Cormier, A. et al. Cryo-EM structure of the $\alpha V\beta 8$ integrin reveals a mechanism for stabilizing integrin extension. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **25**, 698–704 (2018).
- Dong, X., Hudson, N. E., Lu, C. & Springer, T. A. Structural determinants of integrin β -subunit specificity for latent TGF- β . *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **21**, 1091–1096 (2014).
- Liddington, R. C. Structural aspects of integrins. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **819**, 111–126 (2014).
- Sen, M. & Springer, T. A. Leukocyte integrin $\alpha L\beta 2$ headpiece structures: the αL domain, the pocket for the internal ligand, and concerted movements of its loops. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 2940–2945 (2016).
- Dong, X. et al. Force interacts with macromolecular structure in activation of TGF- β . *Nature* **542**, 55–59 (2017).
- Zhu, J., Zhu, J. & Springer, T. A. Complete integrin headpiece opening in eight steps. *J. Cell. Biol.* **201**, 1053–1068 (2013).

22. Chen, J. F., Salas, A. & Springer, T. A. Bistable regulation of integrin adhesiveness by a bipolar metal ion cluster. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **10**, 995–1001 (2003).
23. Hu, P. & Luo, B. H. Integrin $\alpha\beta 8$ adopts a high affinity state for soluble ligands under physiological conditions. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **118**, 2044–2052 (2017).
24. Xiao, T., Takagi, J., Wang, J.-H., Collier, B. S. & Springer, T. A. Structural basis for allostery in integrins and binding of fibrinogen-mimetic therapeutics. *Nature* **432**, 59–67 (2004).
25. Reeves, P. J., Callewaert, N., Contreras, R. & Khorana, H. G. Structure and function in rhodopsin: high-level expression of rhodopsin with restricted and homogeneous N-glycosylation by a tetracycline-inducible N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase I-negative HEK293S stable mammalian cell line. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 13419–13424 (2002).
26. Kabsch, W. in *International Tables for Crystallography* (eds Rossmann, M. G. & Arnold, E.) Ch. 25.2.9 XDS, 730–734 (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001).
27. Karplus, P. A. & Diederichs, K. Linking crystallographic model and data quality. *Science* **336**, 1030–1033 (2012).
28. Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
29. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **60**, 2126–2132 (2004).
30. Davis, I. W. et al. MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, W375–W383 (2007).
31. Ru, H. et al. Molecular mechanism of V(D)J recombination from synaptic RAG1-RAG2 complex structures. *Cell* **163**, 1138–1152 (2015).
32. Wales, T. E., Fadgen, K. E., Gerhardt, G. C. & Engen, J. R. High-speed and high-resolution UPLC separation at zero degrees Celsius. *Anal. Chem.* **80**, 6815–6820 (2008).
33. Iacob, R. E. B.-A. et al. monoclonal antibody aggregation using a combination of H/DX-MS and other biophysical measurements. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **102**, 4315–4329 (2013).
34. Wales, T. E. & Engen, J. R. Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry for the analysis of protein dynamics. *Mass. Spectrom. Rev.* **25**, 158–170 (2006).
35. Masson, G. R. et al. Recommendations for performing, interpreting and reporting hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 595–602 (2019).
36. Wang, S., Ma, J., Peng, J. & Xu, J. Protein structure alignment beyond spatial proximity. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1448 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grant HL-134723 and a research collaboration with Waters Corporation (J.R.E.). Diffraction data were acquired at GM/CA beamline 23-ID

of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory. We would like to thank Prof. Thomas Wales for useful discussion and Ms. Margaret Nielsen for Illustrator assistance.

Author contributions

J.R.E. and T.A.S. supervised the work, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. J.W. and R.E.I. performed experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. Y.S. developed scripts for analyzing and graphically displaying HDX data and prepared figures.

Competing interests

T.A.S. is a stock owner, consultant, and board member of Morphic Therapeutic. Other authors declare no competing interest.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13248-5>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.A.S.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Kasper Rand and other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.




Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2019


Supplementary Information

General structural features that regulate integrin affinity revealed by atypical $\alpha V\beta 8$


Wang et al.




Supplementary Figure 1. Hydrogen deuterium exchange dynamics. (a-l). HDX of $\alpha V\beta 8$ and $\alpha V\beta 6$ headpiece fragments in presence or absence of TGF- $\beta 1$ ligand peptide. HDX exchange on integrins with or without ligand as indicated is color-coded by sequence position (a-b, d-e, g-h, and j-k) and on the structures (c, f, i, and l) at all time points. (a-b, d-e, g-h, and j-k) show all overlapping peptides at each time point with each row showing a different set of non-overlapping peptides. (c, f, i, and l). Close-up of the β -propeller and βI domains with ribbon cartoons color-coded for each overlapping peptide except the TGF- $\beta 1$ peptide is shown in magenta. Each cartoon segment is subdivided to show a different overlapping peptide. As HDX covers regions disordered in crystal structures, the structure of SDL2 from liganded $\alpha V\beta 8$ and the hybrid domain from $\alpha V\beta 6$ are used to model disordered regions of $\alpha V\beta 8$; furthermore, the hybrid domain of $\alpha V\beta 6$ swings out in presence of ligand and its position is modeled on $\alpha IIB\beta 3$.



Supplementary Figure 2. Effect of ligand binding on hydrogen deuterium exchange. Difference in exchange for αVβ8 and αVβ6 (D incorporation for bound integrin - D incorporation for unbound integrin) is shown for each peptide plotted at the midpoint of its sequence position in the α or β-subunit. Differences greater than 1 Da (dashed lines) are considered meaningful.




Supplementary Figure 3. Representative affinity measurements. (a-t). Data points and fitting curves for FP measurements. Data points were fit to a ligand-depletion model in GraphPad Prism as previously described¹. For $\alpha V\beta 8$ wild type and mutants, a single probe concentration was used for all measurements. For $\alpha V\beta 6$ wild type and mutants except for $\alpha V\beta 6$ -AA, three different probe concentrations were used to more accurately determine the concentration of input ligand and better account for the effect of high-affinity binding on ligand depletion. Representative fluorescence polarization (FP) from one of two triplicate experiments (average $\pm$ s.d) with fit (line) is shown for each mutant. The inset K_D values were determined using the NonLinearModelFit function of Mathematica (Wolfram, Champaign IL) using all data from measurements in triplicate of two different experiments done in different months. The fit assumes that errors are independent and normally distributed and minimizes the sum of the squared errors. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.




Supplementary Figure 4. Negative stain EM class averages of mutant α V β 8 complexes with proTGF- β 1.

(a). S200 gel filtration profile of 12 nM α V β 8-mut3 mixed with 8 nM pro-TGF- β 1 (50 μ l). (b). All class averages of α V β 8-mut3 complexed with pro-TGF- β 1 (5064 particles) derived from peak a in gel filtration. Class averages showing uncomplexed integrin and a 2:1 complex (asterisk) are shown in main text Figure 5. (c). S200 gel filtration profile of 12 nM α V β 8-mut6 mixed with 8 nM pro-TGF- β 1 (50 μ l). (d). All class averages of α V β 8-mut6 complexed with pro-TGF- β 1 (4823 particles) derived from peak b in gel filtration. Class averages showing uncomplexed integrin and a 2:1 complex (asterisk) are shown in main text Figure 5. The lower yield of complexes with α V β 8-mut3 (Kd 31.7 nM) is consistent with its lower affinity than α V β 8-mut6 (Kd 7.6 nM). The identity of labeled peaks (a-d) was previously established¹. Scale bar represents 10 nm.



Supplementary Figure 5. Sizes of interfaces that change in headpiece opening. (a). Chains C and D of liganded α V β 8. (b). Buried surface area for representative integrins. All RGD-binding integrins are shown, which contain a β -ribbon extension of the β -propeller domain. Because the β I domain – hybrid domain interface alters in headpiece opening, and the β -ribbon – hybrid domain interface is broken in opening, areas of both in closed conformations are plotted. Chains C and D of liganded α V β 8 were used because there is only one unbuilt residue in the hybrid domain near the β I domain, which will have little or no effect on the area calculation. Buried areas were calculated with PISA 40.



Supplementary Figure 6. Representative electron density. The 2Fo-Fc map contoured at 1 sigma is shown as mesh contoured within 1.7 Å around the ligand, i.e. chain F of the liganded $\alpha\text{V}\beta 8$ structure.

Supplementary Table 1. HDX MS Data Summary and list of experimental parameters

Data Set	α V β 6	α V β 6 + RGD peptide	α V β 8	α V β 8 + RGD peptide
HDX reaction details	Final D ₂ O concentration=93.3%, pH _{read} =7.10, 21 °C. See also footnote a			
HDX time course	10s, 1m, 10m, 1hr, 4hr			
HDX controls	3 undeuterated for each condition			
Back-exchange	30-35%			
Number of peptides	α V=156; β 6=73	α V=128; β 6=47	α V=121; β 8=77	α V=110; β 8=69
Sequence coverage	α V=88%; β 6=73%		α V=93%; β 8=75%	
Average peptide length Redundancy	α V=17.8 a.a; β 6=19.3 a.a Redundancy: α V=5.15; β 6=4.00		α V=17.3 a.a; β 8=17.0 a.a Redundancy: α V=3.73; β 8=3.77	
Replicates (technical)	3			
Repeatability	+/- 0.15 relative Da			
Meaningful differences	> 1.0 Da			

^a 15-fold dilution with labeling buffer [20mM HEPES, 150mM NaCl, 1mM MgCl₂ and 1mM CaCl₂, 99.9% D₂O (pD 7.5)]. 2-fold dilution with quench buffer [4M GnHCl, 200mM sodium phosphate, 0.5M tris (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP-HCl), H₂O], pH 2.1.

Supplementary References

1. Wang, J. et al. Atypical interactions of integrin α V β 8 with pro-TGF- β 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, E4168-E4174, doi:10.1073/pnas.1705129114 (2017).