





Figure 2. Structure of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  Binding Motifs

(A–C) The  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding  $\beta$  hairpin in alkaline protease (A, residues 443–457 from 1kap), EF hand 4 in troponin C (B, residues 133–160 from 5tnc), and helix-turn-strand motif in galactose binding protein (C, residues 121–146 and 205–208 from 3gbp). The  $\alpha$  helices,  $\beta$  strands, and loops are in cyan, green, and yellow, respectively. The sequences are labeled on the corresponding  $\text{C}\alpha$  atoms, with the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -coordinating residues colored as in Figure 1. The orientation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding loops is the same in all structures. (D) Stereo-diagram of a superposition of the two  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding motifs shown in (A) and (B). The ribbons, bonds, coordinations,  $\text{C}\alpha$  atoms, and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion are in yellow for the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding  $\beta$  hairpin or green for the EF hand. Residues 1–9, the water molecule at position  $-x$ , and residue 12 in the EF hand are shown as ball-and-stick, with oxygen and nitrogen atoms in red and blue, respectively. Coordinations to the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions are shown as thin bonds. The view is rotated  $\sim 90^\circ$  about a vertical axis relative to (A) and (B). Figure prepared with RIBBONS (Carson, 1997).

Residues 10–12 are hydrophobic in integrins, but not in EF hands. The residues preceding and following the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding loop in integrins are predicted to be  $\beta$  strands by a variety of computational techniques (Tuckwell et al., 1994; Irie et al., 1995; Springer, 1997), whereas these are amphipathic  $\alpha$  helices in EF hands. Residue 9 has the important function of forming two primary coordinations to  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the  $\beta$  hairpin loop, whereas it usually forms one secondary coordination or no coordination at all in EF hands (Figure 1). Notably, residue 9 is Asp in 96% of integrins, and Asp, Asn, or Glu in 100% of integrins, whereas the corresponding values in EF hands are only 34% and 51%, respectively (Oxvig and Springer, 1998). Residue 8 is a hydrophobic anchor in EF hand proteins that is buried in the interface formed by pairwise association of EF hand motifs (Strynadka and James, 1989). This residue is hydrophobic in 100% of EF hands, but in only 15% of integrin  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding repeats (Oxvig and Springer, 1998) (Figure 1). Residue 2 of the EF hand loop is generally exposed to solvent. It is only hydrophobic in 28% of EF hands, but is hydrophobic in 100% of integrin  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding loops (Oxvig and Springer, 1998) (Figure 1). These critical differences suggest that integrins do not have an overall fold that resembles EF hand proteins. Furthermore, the characteristics of integrin  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding motifs better resemble those of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding  $\beta$  hairpin loop than the EF hand.

Timothy A. Springer,\* Hua Jing, and Junichi Takagi  
Center for Blood Research and  
Department of Pathology  
Harvard Medical School  
200 Longwood Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02115

#### Acknowledgment

Supported by NIH grant CA 31799.

#### References

- Baneres, J.L., Roquet, F., Green, M., LeCalvez, H., and Parello, J. (1998). *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 24744–24753.
- Baumann, U., Wu, S., Flaherty, K.M., and McKay, D.B. (1993). *EMBO J.* 12, 3357–3364.
- Carson, M. (1997). *Methods Enzymol.* 277, R.M. Sweet, and C.W. Carter, eds. (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), pp. 493–505.
- Guerrero-Esteo, M., Ruiz-Velasco, N., Munoz, M., and Teixido, J. (1998). *FEBS Lett.* 429, 123–128.
- Hynes, R.O. (1992). *Cell* 69, 11–25.
- Irie, A., Kamata, T., Puzon-McLaughlin, W., and Takada, Y. (1995). *EMBO J.* 14, 5550–5556.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail: springer@sprgsi.med.harvard.edu).

- Miyatake, H., Hata, Y., Fujii, T., Hamada, K., Morihara, K., and Katsube, Y. (1995). *J. Biochem. (Tokyo)* 118, 474–479.
- Oxvig, C., and Springer, T.A. (1998). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 4870–4875.
- Puzon-McLaughlin, W., Kamata, T., and Takada, Y. (2000). *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 7795–7802.
- Springer, T.A. (1997). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 65–72.
- Stanley, P., Bates, P.A., Harvey, J., Bennett, R.I., and Hogg, N. (1994). *EMBO J.* 13, 1790–1798.
- Strynadka, N.C.J., and James, M.N.G. (1989). *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 58, 951–998.
- Tozer, E.C., Baker, E.K., Ginsberg, M.H., and Loftus, J.C. (1999). *Blood* 93, 918–924.
- Tuckwell, D.S., Brass, A., and Humphries, M.J. (1992). *Biochem. J.* 285, 325–331.
- Tuckwell, D.S., Humphries, M.J., and Brass, A. (1994). *Cell Adhes. Commun.* 2, 385–402.
- Vyas, N.K., Vyas, M.N., and Quioco, F.A. (1987). *Nature* 327, 635–638.
- Zhang, X.P., Puzon-McLaughlin, W., Irie, A., Kovach, N., Prokopi-shyn, N.L., Laferte, S., Takeuchi, K., Tsuji, T., and Takada, Y. (1999). *Biochemistry* 38, 14424–14431.